

1. Are the following true or false?
 - (a) If two sentences are TT-equivalent, then there is at least one row in their joint truth table in which they are both true.
 - (b) $(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)$ and $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)$ are TT-equivalent.
 - (c) Suppose that there is a perfectly correct proof of P in \mathcal{F} , starting with no premises. Then P 's truth table has "True" in every row under the main column.
 - (d) If P is logically true, then its truth table has at least one "True" in the main column.
 - (e) The following sentence is a FO-validity.

$$a = b \vee a \neq c \vee b \neq c$$

2. Write out a full truth table in order to determine whether the following argument is valid or invalid. Show your work.

$(P \wedge \neg Q) \rightarrow R$
$\neg P \leftrightarrow Q$
$R \vee \neg P$

3. Construct a proof of the following argument. Use only the rules of \mathcal{F}_T .

$(F \wedge \neg G) \rightarrow (\neg G \wedge H)$
$F \rightarrow \neg H$
$F \rightarrow G$

4. Translate sentences (a)–(c) into FOL. Use the dictionary provided below.

$a = \text{Andrew}$	$L(x) \equiv x \text{ is a letter}$
$s = \text{Sally}$	$A(x, y) \equiv x \text{ is addressed to } y$
$G(x, y, z) \equiv x \text{ gives } y \text{ to } z$	$I(x) \equiv x \text{ is an invitation}$
$W(x, y) \equiv x \text{ is written by } y$	

- (a) Andrew gives at least two things to Sally.
 - (b) No letter written by Sally is an invitation.
 - (c) Some invitations are not addressed to someone.
5. Translate the following FOL sentence into English.

$$\exists x \exists y [x \neq y \wedge \forall z (z = x \vee z = y)]$$

6. Give a precise statement of the rule \exists **Elim**.
7. Construct a proof of the following argument.

$$\begin{array}{|l} \hline \neg \exists x F(x) \rightarrow [\forall x (G(x) \rightarrow F(x)) \rightarrow \forall x \neg G(x)] \end{array}$$

8. Complete the following definition.

Two sentences are *FO-equivalent* if and only if...

9. Are the following two sentences FO-equivalent? Justify your claim.

$$\forall x (F(x) \rightarrow G(x)) \qquad \forall x F(x) \rightarrow \forall x G(x)$$

10. Is $\exists y \forall x (J(x) \rightarrow K(x, y))$ a FO-consequence of $\forall x (J(x) \rightarrow \exists x K(x, y))$? Justify your claim.